

# Americans for *Better* Immigration

- [Home](#)
- [Immigration Report Cards](#)
- [Bush Appointees](#)
- [Contact Congress](#)
- [Email Us](#)



Roy Beck, Founder & CEO, NumbersUSA

## NumbersUSA's Roy Beck Assesses Presidential Candidates On Immigration Issues

<a href="#">View latest poll results</a> for Democrat and Republican candidates	 <a href="#">Email this page</a>
<a href="#">Click here</a> to see the most recent delegate count totals for <b>DEMOCRAT</b> candidates	
<a href="#">Click here</a> to see the most recent delegate count totals for <b>REPUBLICAN</b> candidates	

Click on candidate's name for more information.

As of Sunday, July 13, 2008 2:45:20 AM

DEMOCRATS
REPUBLICANS
INDEPENDENT
CONSTITUTION
LIBERTARIAN

Click name or image at right for detailed immigration & contact information



Barack Obama



John McCain



Ralph Nader



Chuck Baldwin



Bob Barr

### Assessing Overall Candidate Promises (See criteria below)

**No amnesty** — Attrition through enforcement pushes illegal aliens back home

abysmal
abysmal
fair
EXCELLENT
bad

**Stop future illegal immigration**

poor
fair
poor
EXCELLENT
GOOD

**Reduce legal immigration** — Protect Americans from mass foreign worker competitions, congestion & sprawl

abysmal
abysmal
poor
EXCELLENT
fair

### Assessment of Past Immigration Actions in Political Office

Based on Congressional, Gubernatorial and Mayoral actions

bad
abysmal


EXCELLENT

### Assessing Specific Legal Immigration Stances (See criteria below)

Obama
McCain
Nader
Baldwin
Barr

End **chain migration**

abysmal
abysmal
poor
EXCELLENT
GOOD

End **visa Lottery**

poor
bad
poor
EXCELLENT
GOOD

Control **foreign worker** numbers / protect U.S. workers

abysmal
abysmal
GOOD
EXCELLENT
fair

No citizenship for births to **illegal aliens**

poor
poor
poor
EXCELLENT
GOOD

### Assessing Specific ILLEGAL Immigration Stances (See criteria below)

	Obama	McCain	Nader	Baldwin	Barr
Mandatory <a href="#">workplace verification</a>	bad	GOOD	poor	EXCELLENT	fair
Punish <a href="#">employers</a> who hire illegal aliens	GOOD	fair	GOOD	EXCELLENT	fair
<a href="#">Local enforcement</a> of federal immigration laws	bad	GOOD	poor	EXCELLENT	poor
Implement <a href="#">entry-exit</a> system	poor	fair	poor	EXCELLENT	GOOD
More security at <a href="#">borders</a>	fair	GOOD	poor	EXCELLENT	EXCELLENT
End <a href="#">sanctuary cities</a>	bad	fair	poor	EXCELLENT	GOOD
Prohibit states from issuing <a href="#">driver's licenses</a> to illegal aliens	abysmal	poor	bad	EXCELLENT	fair
Prohibit <a href="#">in-state tuition</a> to illegal aliens	abysmal	abysmal	poor	EXCELLENT	EXCELLENT
	Barack Obama	John McCain	Ralph Nader	Chuck Baldwin	Bob Barr

**SOURCES & EXPLANATIONS**

**ASSESSMENTS CHANGE WITH THE CANDIDATES:** The NumbersUSA staff updates the candidate information pages every day. [NumbersUSA Founder and CEO Roy Beck](#) looks at those updates and adjusts his grading of the candidates based on the newest information of changes in candidate comments.



**ROY BECK'S CRITERIA FOR ASSESSING:** "I look at these candidates ONLY in terms of what they have done about immigration and what they are promising to do on the issue.

"I grade all these candidates on how well they promise to carry out the [recommendations of two national commissions](#) in the 1990s and on other widely accepted goals for reducing overall illegal and legal immigration.

"I know that citizens choose to support a candidate based on many issues and on character and on past experience. **I don't endorse candidates** because I don't consider all those other aspects of the whole candidate. But I do candidly assess how each of them stacks up on immigration issues.

"I give the most weight to an **official statement of promises**, unless the candidate has said or done something since stating the policy to cast doubt on the promise.

"Absent an official policy, I give considerable weight to **recent public statements**. I leave room for candidates to shift positions; I don't forever label them based on past statements or actions. The point here is what a candidate is most likely to do in the future as President, not grade them on past performances. But **when current statements are ambiguous**, contradictory, less than enthusiastically embraced or non-existent, I use past actions to help assess.

"If a candidate has said or done something new that calls into question a rating in a category, we will place black question marks ( ? ? ? ? ) until we have sorted out where the rating should be."

If a candidate has taken no stand and made no statements about one of the issues on the grid, I give a "poor" rating because the candidate has failed to respond in any way to a crucial aspect of the immigration problem.

Most of the campaigns have contacted us at NumbersUSA to contest one or more ratings, and to ask how they can get better ratings. Staffers of a number of campaigns have sought to assure us that their candidate is really better than he/she appears in public on a particular issue. We appreciate the information from the staffers, but until a stance shows up on the official website or in comments made in public by the candidates themselves, we won't change the rating.

"**If you don't like my assessment of a candidate** because you think I have used faulty information, [contact us](#) with your information. If you want your favorite candidate to get a better assessment from me, work to get that candidate to make better, more convincing promises on immigration."

**Add our banners to your website or email.  
Help support True Immigration-Reform Candidates.**

[www.betterimmigration.com](http://www.betterimmigration.com)